1. Introduction

The Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation emerged from an agreement reached at the Fourth High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Busan, Republic of Korea in 2011. The Global Partnership has given way to a broader international consensus that emphasises partnership approaches to cooperation. This consensus recognises the voluntary and country-specific nature of the efforts and places greater emphasis on making sure that co-operation efforts have a catalytic effect on development.

The Global Partnership also supports accountability for making progress in the implementation of commitments and actions agreed in Busan through an agreed global monitoring framework. It places particular emphasis on behaviour change in development co-operation efforts, which is in turn expected to contribute to the achievement of results as defined in developing countries’ development strategies. To this end, the global monitoring framework was rolled out in mid-2013. The monitoring framework emphasises a country-focused approach, drawing on the Busan commitment to agree on frameworks based on national needs and priorities for monitoring progress and promoting mutual accountability at country level.

Participation in the monitoring exercise is voluntary, and 50 developing countries initially expressed their interest to take part in the monitoring. 43 countries completed the collection and submission of country-level data, which provides the basis for the preparation of the first Global Partnership Progress Report to facilitate discussions in the Mexico High-Level Meeting in April 2014. The report is expected to be available for dissemination in March, while an early dissemination of key findings and messages is foreseen for mid-February. Open access to the full data set is envisaged together with the report launch.

2. Objectives

The objectives of the workshop are three-fold:

1. **Review progress in implementing selected Busan commitments and craft key political messages arising from the monitoring findings.** Provide an opportunity to discuss at technical level the outcomes and challenges related to commitments on ownership and results, inclusive partnerships and transparency and accountability. Exchange lessons and best practices between countries and regions and identify key political recommendations to inform further implementation efforts.

2. **Take stock of the monitoring processes itself.** Share experiences and lessons to inform future monitoring efforts, with the aim that global monitoring efforts support, and are embedded in, national accountability frameworks.

3. **Discuss ways to strengthen methodologies and approaches of pilot indicators.** Take stock of experiences in piloting new indicators with a view to inform further work to improve the indicators and methodologies to be more robust and relevant for developing countries.

3. Approach

The workshop will target the government officials who are in charge of the effective development cooperation agenda in their countries and who have direct responsibility for overseeing monitoring of Busan commitments at country level. The workshop provides an opportunity to discuss in-depth the key findings
and experiences of the monitoring exercise, with a view to support countries’ and regional organisations/institutions’ preparations for the Mexico HLM (particularly Session 1 on Progress Since Busan, but also other sessions) and to gather key lessons and recommendations for strengthening Global Partnership monitoring efforts post-Mexico.

4. Draft Programme

**Day 1: Thursday, 27 February 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08.30-09.00</td>
<td>Registration of participants and coffee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Session 1: Opening and introduction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09.00-09.30</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opening remarks – Government of Cote d’Ivoire, UNRC Cote d’Ivoire, OECD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Objectives of the workshop and presentation of the agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation of the participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Session 2: Global Partnership Monitoring Framework – approach and overall key findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09.30-10.45</td>
<td>Presentation of the Global Monitoring Framework – approach and key findings (Overview of findings and specific findings by pillars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussions on key takeaway messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.45-11.00</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00-13.00</td>
<td>Plenary Discussion on key findings (Panel Format) (Panel members – to be determined).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guiding question for discussions

- What do you take as key headlines from the monitoring findings? Any major challenges/constraints to implementing the selected commitments from your country perspective?
- What are the political messages that should be brought forward for the Mexico HLM and a possible key element which should be considered for a communique
- Alongside political messages, are there key recommendations/actions that need to be addressed at the technical level?
Session 3: Taking stock of the country-level monitoring process – what worked and what did not
How did countries organise the monitoring process, and how can global indicators be further embedded in country-level accountability efforts?

14.00-14.45

- **The country-level monitoring process.** Presentation by the joint support team followed by Q&A.
- **Making monitoring happen at the country level:** Introduction to Group Discussions (three countries to lead each of the group discussion, drawing on their experiences)

**Data collection and validation process (Group 1)**

- How is your country organized country process to undertake moving forward to participate in the global monitoring?
- How data were collected and validated?

**Partnership engagement and consultation process (Group 2)**

- How were broader and inclusive consultations facilitated? Role of civil society, parliamentarians, private sector, and south-south partners
- How partner (providers) engaged in this process?

**Use of national monitoring systems and processes and strengthening mutual accountability frameworks, including linking to other country monitoring processes (Group 3)**

- What has been the value addition of the Global Partnership monitoring framework to your national development planning and mutual accountability processes?
- What are the most effective ways to ground global indicators in existing local processes? How did or would a global framework serve to further strengthen existing systems?
- How can the monitoring process be linked with other monitoring initiatives (e.g. sector monitoring approaches, New Deal monitoring)?
- Any recommendations on elements for further strengthening? Any specific capacity challenges to be considered in embedding the global process to country process?

**Participating in country-level monitoring efforts: providers’ experiences:**

(Providers of Development Cooperation Group)

- How was data collection and provided to government?
- Best practices for the validation process?
- How did providers organise participation in broader accountability
14.45-16.00 Group discussions

15.45-16.00 Coffee to be available during the group discussion

16.00-17.45
- Plenary – making monitoring happen at the country level and strengthening accountability framework at country level
  - Reporting back from groups
  - Identification of set of recommendations for future monitoring process

17.45-18.00 Day 1 wrap up: Remaining questions and conclusions (key political messages, emerging key issues for further and broader discussions, take away message for the country process)

18.00-19.30: Closed Meeting for Results Pilot countries: Debriefing on the process, sharing of experiences around challenges, lessons learned and further recommendations

***

Day 2: Friday 28 February 2014

Session 4: Global Partnership monitoring approach – lessons learned to enhance future implementation efforts

Review of the piloting of new indicators and identification.

09.00-09.30
- Reporting back from Day 1 on country perspectives on key political messages and lessons learned on country monitoring

09.30-11.00
- Refining global indicators? Presentation of pilot methodologies of the global indicators – What was the approach? What support tools and resources may be needed to strengthen the indicator? [Presentation by the joint support team followed by a Q&A]
- Lessons learned in piloting the indicators [Experiences from pilot countries followed by brief Q&A – Result pilot and private sector indicator pilot]

10.45-11.00 Coffee

11.00-11.15
- Taking forward Global Partnership monitoring: Introduction to group discussions
- **Group A**: Results Indicator; lessons and future recommendations
- **Group B**: Country systems: assessing quality
- **Group C**: How ensure a country focused approach to measuring private sector engagement, civil society enabling environment and transparency
- **Joint clinic for all French speaking participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.15-12.30</td>
<td>Group discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30-13.30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.30-15.00</td>
<td>Group discussions cont.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 5: Recommendations for future monitoring – reporting back from the group/clinic discussions and Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.00-16.00</td>
<td>Reporting back from clinics – key recommendations for refining indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary discussions – Learning from Experience and Recommendation for future Monitoring with view to come up with a concrete set of recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>