

Busan Commitment on Dev. Effectiveness		Busan-agreed Indicator		STRESS-TESTING QUESTIONS ON INDICATORS							Government	Providers	CSOs / Trade Unions / etc	Private Sector
				Included in Country Spreadsheet (Oct 2015)				Summer 2016						
				RELEVANCE (REFLECTS CURRENT CHALLENGE)		EFFICIENCY (VALUE FOR EFFORT)		EFFECTIVENESS* (USE FINDINGS ARE ACTIONABLE)						
				Score [1-5]	Does this indicator reflect an existing challenge you are experiencing in managing development assistance flows in the country?	Score [1-5]	Are there other important dimensions related to this Busan commitment/ challenge that are missing in this indicator?	Score [1-5]	Was the effort to collect the data commensurate to the value of the findings?	What types of challenges did you experience in collecting information for this indicator?				
1	Development co-operation is focused on results that meet developing countries' priorities	Extent of use of country results frameworks by co-operation providers												
2	Civil society operates within an environment which maximises its engagement in and contribution to development	A context assessment of CSO Enabling Environment building on qualitative, multistakeholder information												
3	Engagement and contribution of the private sector to development	A three-dimension index providing a measure of the quality of public-private dialogue												
4	Transparency: information on development co-operation is publicly available	Measure of state of implementation of the common standard by co-operation providers												
5a	Development co-operation is more predictable	(a) annual: proportion of development cooperation funding disbursed within the fiscal year within which it was scheduled by co-operation providers; and												
5b		(b) medium-term: proportion of development cooperation funding covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at country level												
6	Aid is on budgets which are subject to parliamentary scrutiny	% of development cooperation funding scheduled for disbursement that is recorded in the annual budgets approved by the legislatures of developing countries												
7	Mutual accountability among development co-operation actors is strengthened through inclusive reviews	% of countries that undertake inclusive mutual assessments of progress in implementing agreed commitments												
8	Gender equality and women's empowerment	% of countries with systems that track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment												
9a	Effective institutions: developing countries' systems are strengthened and used	(a) Quality of developing country PFM systems (CPIA score);												
9b		(b) Use of country PFM and procurement systems												
10	Aid is untied	% of aid that is fully untied												

\* Questions on the effectiveness of the indicator should be asked AFTER March 2016 (i.e. after the data collection and validation process, or even by the dissemination of findings)