**Session V: Harnessing monitoring results to drive more effective partnerships**
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---

**Session Objective**

This session aims to scale up the use and impact of Global Partnership monitoring results. To achieve this, discussion will focus on concrete actions that countries have used in the past, and could employ during the current monitoring round to drive change at country level, including through reporting on progress, such as using the monitoring results as an input for a Voluntary National Review, and to inform preparation for country level implementation following the 2018 monitoring round.

---

**Session Background**

The aim of the Global Partnership monitoring exercise is to drive more effective partnerships at country level. Following data collection and review of the 2018 Global Partnership monitoring round, which will run until the end of 2018, the monitoring results will be analysed and disseminated in various formats from early 2019. This final phase of the monitoring round is focussed on spurring dialogue and action on the results. Partner country governments, development partners and other stakeholders are encouraged to participate in follow-up and review of monitoring findings at country, regional and global levels.

The monitoring results can be used as an evidence base to introduce policy changes and strengthen co-ordination arrangements, both in countries and within development organisations. The monitoring data informs SDG follow-up and review at the global level, specifically SDG 17 on strengthening the means of implementation and revitalizing the global partnership for sustainable development, and SDG 5 on achieving gender equality and empowering all women and girls. The monitoring data can
also be used to report on progress at country level, including as an input for a country’s Voluntary National Review for the UN High Level Political Forum.

Guiding Questions

Discussion will be guided by the following questions:

− What approach/es did your country take to use Global Partnership monitoring results to incentivize/facilitate policy and engagement strategy changes? What was the outcome?
− What support (and from which partners) was critical to using the monitoring results and maximizing the benefits of the results?
− What was the impact of engaging in Global Partnership monitoring? What were the enablers driving this impact?