

## Draft work plan of the Advisory Group on monitoring

Key tasks	Expected outputs / timeline
<p><b><u>1. LAUNCH OF THE ADVISORY GROUP:</u></b></p> <p>Establish the group Finalise the work plan (on the basis of the draft proposed by the UNDP-OECD Joint Support Team) Initiate work</p>	<p>[APRIL-MAY 2015]</p> <p>Formal Invitations to Members, accompanied with a draft work plan (April 2015) Finalised work plan (May 2015) First face-to-face meeting (May 2015, TBC)</p>
<p><b><u>2. REFINING FOUR PILOT INDICATORS</u></b></p> <p>Provide expertise for the finalisation of the measurement approaches on the <b>four pilot indicators</b>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use of country results frameworks,</li> <li>2. CSO enabling environment,</li> <li>3. Private sector engagement,</li> <li>4. Transparency</li> </ol>	<p>[MAY-JUNE 2015]</p> <p>Strategic feedback and guidance to the UNDP-OECD Joint Support Team and relevant stakeholders in view of finalising the methodologies of the four pilot indicators, by <b>end June 2015</b></p>
<p><b><u>3. IMPLEMENTING A STRENGTHENED SECOND MONITORING ROUND</u></b></p> <p><b>Provide recommendations to finalise the structure and process of the second monitoring round</b></p> <p><b>Contribute expertise particularly to quality assurance of the Second Progress Report,</b> supporting the translation of the results and key findings into actionable and policy relevant recommendations</p>	<p>[MAY 2015 – MID 2016]</p> <p>Strategic guiding questions to collect country-level feedback on existing indicators and their relevance (May-June 2015)</p> <p>Strategic guidance to stakeholders to support effective dialogue at country-level around the monitoring process and its results, geared at incentivising behaviour change in partnerships (May-June 2015)</p> <p>Guidance to formulate monitoring results and findings into recommendations in the Second Progress Report that resonate at both country and global political level (ahead of 2nd GPEDC High Level Meeting in 2016, date yet TBC)</p>
<p><b><u>4. REVIEWING THE MONITORING FRAMEWORK TO ENSURE ITS CONCEPTUAL RELEVANCE TO POST 2015 ACCOUNTABILITY EFFORTS</u></b></p> <p>This review will result in recommendations for a refined GPEDC monitoring framework, to be endorsed in the 2<sup>nd</sup> GPEDC High Level Meeting in 2016 (date yet TBC):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Initiate the review:</u> Identify specific areas in which a review of relevance will be necessary (the UNDP-</li> </ol>	<p>[JULY 2015 – END 2016]</p> <p>Proposal on main areas of review and a detailed work plan for the review to the Steering Committee meeting in <b>September 2015</b></p>

<p>OECD Joint support Team will support this by producing an initial think-piece). Draft a detailed work plan for the review.</p> <p>2. <u>Stress-test the current indicators and identify ways to strengthen them</u></p> <p>Technical collaboration around given indicators/themes with relevant stakeholders (GPIs, experts and technical partners), under coordination by the UNDP-OECD Joint Support Team</p> <p>3. Identify <u>existing indicators and/or additional indicators</u> of relevance to monitor the quality of development partnerships in the post-2015 framework</p> <p>4. Provide guidance on the ways in which the monitoring framework can be applied to <u>non-traditional co-operation modalities</u> (including South-South Co-operation, triangular co-operation and other innovative development approaches)</p> <p>5. <u>Craft recommendations</u> of areas of revision of the monitoring framework in the post 2015 context, including technical expert guidance on relevant and appropriate methodologies and approaches for effective accountability for Busan principles at national, regional and global levels</p>	<p>Framework and methodology for a review of strengths and weaknesses of all 10 indicators</p> <p>Actual review of strengths and weaknesses, drawing on the second round monitoring process.</p> <p>A proposed new set of indicators (including existing and possibly additional indicators)</p> <p>A proposed approach where some/all indicators are suitable to monitoring non-traditional development co-operation</p> <p>Final recommendations to the Steering Committee on refined monitoring framework, including a set of proposed indicators, methodology, and guidance on the process (to be endorsed in the <b>2<sup>nd</sup> GPEDC High Level Meeting in 2016, date yet TBC</b>)</p>
---	---