



Indicator Fact Sheet

Indicator 3: Quality of public-private dialogue index

This fact sheet includes details on the methodology underpinning Indicator 3 during the 2015-2016 Round of [Global Partnership Monitoring](#), including means of measurement, method of calculation and data source.

For questions, please contact the OECD-UNDP monitoring team at monitoring@effectivecooperation.org

Engagement and contribution of the private sector to development
Indicator 3. Quality of public-private dialogue index

This indicator focuses on the quality of public-private dialogue (PPD) at the country level. In doing so, it recognises the importance of inclusive dialogue with the private sector for building a policy environment conducive to growth and sustainable development.

The indicator builds on tools developed by the World Bank, although the process was adjusted in order to rely on a country-led, multi-stakeholder data gathering process that could ensure transparency and country ownership. It relies on a mix of globally-sourced and country-sourced data, in order to produce a snapshot of the situation in the country.

Relevant Busan commitment

The indicator reflects the commitment to enable the participation of the private sector in the design and implementation of development policies and strategies to foster sustainable growth and poverty reduction (Busan [§32b](#))

Indicator construction

The indicator construction relies on a combination of globally-sourced quantitative scores and country-sourced qualitative assessments on the country context for inclusive public-private dialogue processes.

It is structured around the three following modules:

- *Module 1* assesses the country's enabling environment and relies on globally-sourced data, which will be provided by the JST to national co-ordinators to inform multi-stakeholder dialogue in relation to Module 2.
- *Module 2* assesses the country's readiness for public-private dialogue processes, and relies on a qualitative questionnaire ;
- *Module 3* is designed as an optional component, and provides an in-depth assessment (case study) of the quality and organisational effectiveness of a given public-private platform in the country.

Measure

Building up on the information drawn from *module 1* and the country-sourced responses for *module 2*, indicator 3 will provide country-level assessments on the current legal/regulatory context and country readiness to organise and sustain public-private dialogues (PPDs).

Module 3 (optional) will help deepen the analysis through the case studies of specific PPD platforms, which in turn will facilitate peer-learning regarding different PPD approaches, and disseminate best practices and lessons learnt.

Data source

The indicator relies on a combination of globally-sourced and country-level data sources:

- *Module 1* – International indices, including the “Public engagement” index from the [Open Budget Survey](#), the “Voice and Accountability”, “Rule of Law” and “Control of corruption” indices from the [Worldwide Governance Indicators](#) and the “[Citizen engagement in rulemaking](#)” index.
- *Module 2* – Country-level data, gathered throughout multi-stakeholder dialogue processes.
- *Module 3* (Optional) - Country-level data

Aggregation

The unit of observation is the individual country. This indicator assesses the country context. Quantitative and qualitative information from Modules 1 and 2 will provide scoring and a contextual narrative on the country's enabling environment and readiness for public-private dialogues. Cross-cutting and specific challenges in facilitating public-private dialogue across countries will also be identified by resorting to qualitative comparative analysis and principal component analysis methods. The assessment will identify common patterns in countries experiencing progress public-private dialogue, and will compare and spotlight specific PPD platforms (module 3) for learning purposes

Baseline

This monitoring round will provide the baseline scenario at the country level.
 Further monitoring rounds will allow tracing trends in terms of progress in strengthening public-private dialogue at the country level, and in refining and further simplifying the questionnaire into the key factors driving change across countries.

Proposed target

Continued progress over time.
 Rationale: the purpose of the indicator is to provide means to support broader political discussion on enhanced public private cooperation and further mobilisation of the private sector within the Global Partnership