1. National development planning and results orientation

Overall quality of national results framework
High-quality, inclusive and results-oriented development strategies are critical for countries' ownership over their development and the implementation of the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The quality of national development planning in Kyrgyzstan is medium (68%).

Extent of use of country-owned results frameworks by development partners
Development partner alignment to country-led development priorities is at the heart of country ownership. Global Partnership monitoring assesses whether interventions: draw objectives from national development strategies; draw indicators from country results frameworks; use government data and statistics for monitoring; and involve government in evaluations. In the 2018 Monitoring Round, the extent of use of country-owned results frameworks by development partners was not assessed in Kyrgyzstan.

2. Multi-stakeholder engagement

Recognising that successful development efforts require the inclusive and equitable participation of all actors, the 2030 Agenda calls for collective action by the whole of society. Global Partnership monitoring examines the engagement of civil society and the private sector from both the perspective of the government and representatives of these stakeholder groups.

Quality of public-private dialogue
In the 2018 Monitoring Round, government representatives and representatives of large firms, SMEs and trade unions in Kyrgyzstan did not assess the quality of public-private dialogue.

Kyrgyzstan

Kyrgyzstan is a lower middle-income country (LMIC) with a GNI of 1,130 USD per capita (2017) and a population of 6.2 million inhabitants (2017).
3. Quality and use of public financial management systems

Strengthening public financial management systems

Strong public financial management (PFM) systems are an essential element of good governance and vital to achieving development goals. Kyrgyzstan did not assess progress in the quality of its PFM systems.

Development partners’ use of country systems

Development partners’ use of these systems to deliver co-operation both lowers transaction costs but also helps to accelerate their strengthening.

Systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment

Adequate and effective financing is essential to achieve gender equality and to empower all women and girls. Kyrgyzstan has not assessed whether elements of a system to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment are in place (SDG indicator 5.c.1).

4. Predictability and forward planning

High-quality and timely information on development co-operation helps governments in planning and managing resources for results and can guide development partners in coordinating their support with other providers, as to avoid fragmentation and duplication of efforts.

Annual and medium-term predictability were not assessed in Kyrgyzstan in the 2018 Monitoring Round.

Predictability of development co-operation

Systems to track development co-operation information in Kyrgyzstan

Component 1
Comprehensive policy framework for development co-operation

Component 2
Country-level targets for government and development partners

Component 3
Regular joint assessment of progress

Component 4
Assessments are inclusive and involve non-state actors

Component 5
Timely, publicly available results of assessments

5. Mutual accountability mechanisms

Mutual accountability underpins the efforts of development actors to meet joint commitments, improve how they work together and increase their development effectiveness. Mutual accountability mechanisms are made up of multiple, reinforcing components that can help enhance transparency and accountability at country level. Global Partnership monitoring defines and assesses mutual accountability against five components. Kyrgyzstan has zero out of five components in place.
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